

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,869	12/29/2000	John D. Wilson	END920000138SU1 (13995)	8572
7590 03/15/2005			EXAMINER	
Richard L. Catanis., Esq. SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER 400 Garden City Plaza			RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Garden City, N			2165	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/751,869	WILSON, JOHN D.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sam Rimell	2165			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION maions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the main part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three mont	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty itod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIfute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. S 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		his action is non-final.	·			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the		· •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[_] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
			PRIMARY EXAMIN'			
Attachmen						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date primal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

Art Unit: 2175

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Serafin (U.S. Patent 5,987,472).

<u>Claim 1:</u> FIG. 2 illustrates a database system that includes a plurality of documents (FIG. 11). FIG. 15 gives an example of where the database includes parent and child documents (in FIG. 15, the two documents are related to each other).

FIG. 15 provides one relation created between a parent and child document. This relation is readable as a mater index, or the total collection of such relations is readable as the master index.

As seen in FIG. 15, the index will include taking selected field items from the parent (Document Number Field and Title Field from the upper document) and placing them in the index shown in FIG. 15. Text from both the parent document, such as "System Description for CCS for Nuplex 80+" and text from the child document, such as "Station Service Water Pump Structure" are both placed in the index.

As described at col. 1, lines 59-62 and col. 7, lines 55-56, the user can make a query on the index and retrieve documents.

Claim 2: In FIG. 15, the index establishes a relationship is established between a parent document and a child document (the upper and lower identified documents). For the illustrated

Art Unit: 2175

parent document, a child document is identified. The same would occur in any additional established relationships saved in the index.

<u>Claim 3:</u> As described at col. 1, lines 59-62 and col. 7, lines 55-56, a search query recovers all the links, which means that the search query will search for the parent documents and the related child documents.

<u>Claim 4:</u> In FIG. 15, one child document is linked to the parent document. The display thus shows one linkage.

<u>Claim 5:</u> In FIG. 15, only the child document linked to the parent document is shown. The "pre-defined criteria" can be any criteria decided upon by the user, such as (in FIG. 15) the documents being related to the design of a specialized water reactor.

Claim 6: See remarks for claim 1.

Claim 7: See remarks for claim 2.

Claim 8: See remarks for claim 3.

Claim 9: See remarks for claim 4.

Claim 10: See remarks for claim 5.

Claim 11: See remarks for claim 1.

Claim 12: See remarks for claim 2.

Claim 13: See remarks for claim 3.

Claim 14: See remarks for claim 4.

Claim 15: See remarks for claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,869 Page 4

Art Unit: 2175

Remarks

Applicant's arguments have been considered. Applicant's arguments are primarily address to he amendments presented. The contents of those amendments have been considered, and are found to be taught in the same Serafin reference, but in FIG. 15 instead of FIG. 6. Examiner's rationales as cited for claims 1-5 address these features in reference to FIG. 15 of Serafin.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (571) 272-4084.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2165